PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

Translation INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference P28021/WO Kf	FOR FURTHER	RACTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416			
International application No. International filin PCT/EP2004/003251 26.03.2		date (day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 04.06.2003			
International Patent Classification (04.06.2003			
	1 V / VI BARNIAI CLASSIFICATION AF	AT IF V				
Applicant ROHDE & SCHWARZ	GMBH & CO. KG					
This report is the internat under Article 35 and transi	ional preliminary examination i mitted to the applicant according	report, established by this 2 to Article 36.	s International Preliminary Examining Authority			
2. This REPORT consists of	a total of	sheets, includi	ing this cover sheet.			
3. This report is also accompa	anied by ANNEXES, comprising					
a. (sent to the app	olicant and to the International I	Bureau) a total of	sheets, as follows:			
sheets of	the description, claims and/or d ntaining rectifications authorized	rawings which have been	amended and are the basis for this report and/or cule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative			
sheets wh	ich supersede earlier sheets, bu	t which this Authority co ation as filed, as indicate	insiders contain an amendment that goes beyond d in item 4 of Box No. 1 and the Supplemental			
Box.						
b (sent to the Inte	rnational Bureau only) a total o	f (indicate type and numb	er of electronic carrier(s))			
related thereto, in Section 802 of the	computer readable form only, Administrative Instructions).	as indicated in the Suppl	containing a sequence listing and/or tables demental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see			
4. This report contains indicat	ions relating to the following ite	ems:				
X	asis of the report					
	riority					
	-	h roughed to movelty in	itive step and industrial applicability			
		a regard to noverty. inven	nive step and industrial applicability			
Box No. V R						
	ertain documents cited					
	ertain defects in the internationa	1 application .				
	ertain observations on the intern					
Date of submission of the demand		Date of completion of the				
The second second		issue of completion of th	nerchan			
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/EP		Authorized officer				
aesimite No.		Takadhan- No.				

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.
PCT/EF2004/003251

Box	No. 1	Basis of the report		- 11		
1.	With regar	rd to the language, this report is based on the international under this item.	onal application in the language in which it	was filed, unless otherwise		
	This report is based on translations from the original language into the following language which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of:					
	닏	international search (Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b))				
	님	publication of the international application (Rule 12.4	l)			
		international preliminary examination (Rule 55.2 and				
2.	 With regard to the elements of the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets which have been furnished to to receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed this report): 					
	∇Z	nternational application as originally filed/furnished				
		escription;				
	page	1-14		,		
	page:	k4.	received by this Authority on			
	page:	S	received by this Authority on			
ı	∑ the el	laims:				
	nos.	1-14		as originally filed/furnished		
	nos.#		as amended (together with an	y statement) under Article 19		
	nos. 4.		received by this Authority on			
	nos.4		received by this Authority on			
	the di	rawings:				
	sheets	1/4-4/4		as originally filed/furnished		
	sheet	ς1.	received by this Authority on			
	sheet:	4*	received by this Authority on			
[a sequ	nence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Suppleme	•			
3.	The a	mendments have resulted in the cancellation of:				
		the description, pages				
		the claims, nos,				
	1 1	the drawings, sheets/figs				
		the sequence listing (specify):				
		any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):				
4.	This r	report has been established as if (some of) the amenda have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as file	nents annexed to this report and listed by	low had not been made cines		
		the description, pages				
	1 1					
	1 1	the claims, nos.				
		the drawings, sheets/figs				
		the sequence listing (specify):				
4.		any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify);				
* /	y item 4 apy	olies, some or all of those sheets may be marked "super	rseded."			

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/003251

Bo	x No. V	Reasoned stateme citations and expl	nt under Ar	ticle 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; opporting such statement	
I.	Statemer	וו			
			Claims Claims	1-14	
Inventive st		itive step (IS)	Claims Claims	1-14	YES NO
	Industrial applicability (IA)		Claims Claims	1-14	
2.	Citations	and explanations (Rule	70.7)		
	This	report ref	ers to	the following documents:	
	D1:	Individual Special coversion 4.	equip nforma 2.0 Re	or telecommunications system (Phase 2+) comment type requirements and interworkin cance testing functions (3GPP TS 44.014 celease 4); ETSI TS 144 014", ETSI CEAN TELECOMMUNICATIONS STANDARDS	
				A-ANTIPO, FR, Vol. 3-G2, No. V420, -07), XP014010543, ISSN 0000-0001	
	D2:	multislot VTC 2000. 444-450, X	link 1 52ND, P01052		
	D3:			1 (FITZPATRICK GERARD ET AL), (2001-12-13)	

- 1. Independent claims 1 and 9 fail to meet the requirements of PCT Article 6 because their subject matter is not clear. The reasons for this are as follows:
 - a. Neither claim defines the relation between transmission blocks and multiblocks; they merely attempt to define the relation by implication

PCT/EP2004/003251

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

(see claim 1, lines 21 to 29, and claim 9, lines 19 to 28). The wording in lines 24 to 26 can be interpreted as meaning that the number of transmission blocks per multiblock is variable, whereas in lines 27 to 29 it is stated that the number is not variable. As a result the actual relation is not clear to the reader. The relation should be defined in the introductory part of each claim.

- b. The wording in lines 20 to 23 of claim 1 ("the number of transmission blocks of multiblocks") is grammatically incorrect. It should read "the number of transmission blocks per multiblock" (see also the corresponding part of claim 9).
- c. Claim 1 refers in lines 21 to 24 to "transmission blocks which address the mobile radio device that is to be tested", yet in lines 6 to 8 it is stated that the transmission blocks are simply sent to the mobile radio device, not addressed to it. The same objection also applies to the corresponding part of claim 9.
- On the basis of document D1, the subject matter of independent method claim 1 does not involve an inventive step.

It is noted that the claim merely attempts to state that a multiblock consists of a fixed number of transmission blocks. In particular, it does not state that for a particular mobile radio device a logical

International application No.

PCT/EP2004/003251

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

multiblock transmission channel consisting of multiple transmission blocks is first established, and then within this fixed "multiblock" the number of transmission blocks addressed to the mobile radio device is varied. According to the claim, a block consisting of 8 slots in direct succession as in D1 (shown, for example, in figure 1 of document D2) can be regarded as a multiblock.

Document D1 is an ETSI Specification relating to test procedures using a mobile device.

Regarding the essential features of claim 1 of the present application, D1 discloses the following:

- Different transmission tests with different numbers of transmission blocks (referred to in D1 as "slots") are carried out using the mobile radio device (see section 5.1 "Single slot TCH loops", and section 5.2 "Multi-slot TCH loops").
- For speech frames that have been successfully received (a speech frame consists of multiple slots), a first identifier (i.e. the received data) is sent back (section 5.2.3.1, fourth paragraph).
- For speech frames that have not been successfully received, a second identifier (i.e. a data block containing zeros) is sent back (section 5.2.3.1, fifth paragraph).
- This method can be used to determine a Frame Erasure Ratio (FER) (page 12, last paragraph).

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/003251

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

The subject matter of claim 1 differs from the method according to D1 because D1 does not disclose exactly how the individual transmission blocks (slots) sent to a mobile radio device during the test are selected, whereas in claim 1 the number of transmission blocks varies.

With tests to determine whether a manufactured device will function properly in actual use, the aim is generally to simulate all possible scenarios that might occur in real life. According to the specification, the number of slots used (and hence the "load on the device") can vary between 1 and 8, and therefore the mobile radio device must be able to operate with any of these numbers of slots (and hence any "load").

A person skilled in the art who is familiar with the disclosure of D1 would therefore follow the routine procedure of testing all 8 possible numbers of slots, and would thus arrive at what is claimed in claim 1 without having to exercise inventive skill.

The subject matter of claim 1 therefore does not involve an inventive step (PCT Article 33(3)), and hence claim 1 fails to meet the requirements of PCT Article 33(1).

3. The above arguments also apply to the subject matter of claim 9, which corresponds to claim 1 but instead contains device features. The subject matter of claim 9 is therefore not inventive, and the claim fails to meet the requirement of PCT Article 33(3).

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/003251

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

4. Dependent claims 2 to 8 and 10 to 14 do not contain any features that meet the PCT requirements in respect of inventive step when combined with the features of any of the back-referenced claims. This is because these claims relate only to special cases which a person skilled in the art would investigate in the course of the test. Since the positions of the slots can be chosen at will (see document D2, page 445, left-hand column, last paragraph), the skilled person would test all the possible combinations of slot numbers and slot positions one after the other.